



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,077	05/09/2001	Michiaki Sakamoto	12873A	4429	
7	590 09/11/2002				
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser			EXAMINER		
400 Garden City, N			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2871			
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MC

Office Action Summary

٤,

Application No. 09/852,077

Applicant(s)

Sakamoto

Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit **2871**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 11, 20	002		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 26 and 28-44			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 32-39			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims 26, 28-31, and 40-44	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 🔞	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
-, □ m		or L. Other.				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,077

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment dated 06/11/2002 has been received and entered.

In view of Applicant's amendment, this application contains a new patentably distinct inventions as follow:

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (A) A method of manufacturing a liquid crystal display (LCD) comprising the step of forming pretilt angles by light irradiation (claims 28-31 and 40-41).
- (B) A method of manufacturing a liquid crystal display (LCD) comprising the step of forming pretilt angles by a rubbing method (claims 42-43).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 26 and 44 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Application/Control Number: 09/852,077

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DM 09/05/2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner

ellem I Scho

Group 2871